

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
10/657,680	09/08/2003	. Dudi Amir	884.147US3	1232
7590 04/14/2004			EXAMINER	
Schwegman, I	Lundberg, Woessner &	YAN, RE	YAN, REN LUO	
P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2854	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•/
JY
-
//L

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/657,680	AMIR, DUDI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ren L Yan	2854				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Seconds</u></li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Executive Executive Condition for allowant closed.</li> </ol>	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro					
Disp sition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9-8-2003.	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/657,680

Art Unit: 2854

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,626,099. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application are just obvious variants of the claims in the patent and the claimed subject matter of the present application has been either taught or rendered obvious by the claims of the patent. With respect to claim 25, the claims of the patent require at least one blocking cap connected to the pressurized squeegee head and therefore, the use of two blocking caps in the pressurized squeegee head is certainly covered by the teaching of the patent claims.

Claims 1-30 would be allowed if the above obviousness-type double patenting rejection was overcome in the next response to this office action.

Claim 17 is objected to because the recitation of "the circuit board" lacks proper antecedent basis. Perhaps the recitation of "on a circuit board" should be added on line 3 of claim 16, after the word --printed-- so as to correct this oversight.

The following is an examiner's statement indicating allowable subject matter:

Application/Control Number: 10/657,680 Page 3

Art Unit: 2854

With respect to claims 1 and 16, no prior art has been found to teach an apparatus as claimed including a pressurized squeegee head containing at least one blocking cap to prevent attachment media from being deposited on certain area(s) on a stencil. With respect to claim 25, no prior art has been found to teach an apparatus for partially printing a circuit board as claimed including the use of two blocking caps positioned in a pressurized squeegee head at a predetermined distance apart from each other.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ren L Yan whose telephone number is 571-272-2173. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on 571-272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ren L Yan

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854

Ren Yan April 9, 2004